Applied Physics Letters

Small valence-band offset of In0.17Al0.83N/GaN heterostructure grown by metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy

M. Akazawa, T. Matsuyama, T. Hashizume, M. Hiroki, S. Yamahata et al.

Citation: Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**, 132104 (2010); doi: 10.1063/1.3368689 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3368689 View Table of Contents: http://apl.aip.org/resource/1/APPLAB/v96/i13 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Additional information on Appl. Phys. Lett.

Journal Homepage: http://apl.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://apl.aip.org/about/about_the_journal Top downloads: http://apl.aip.org/features/most_downloaded Information for Authors: http://apl.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT



Small valence-band offset of In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN heterostructure grown by metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy

M. Akazawa,^{1,2,a)} T. Matsuyama,¹ T. Hashizume,^{1,2} M. Hiroki,³ S. Yamahata,³ and N. Shigekawa³

¹Research Center for Integrated Quantum Electronics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan
²JST-CREST, Sanbancho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan
³NTT Photonics Laboratories, NTT Corporation, Atsugi, Kanagawa 243-0198, Japan

(Received 12 January 2010; accepted 2 March 2010; published online 31 March 2010)

The valence-band offset of a lattice-matched $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN$ heterostructure grown by metal-organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) was investigated by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Atomic force microscopy and angle-resolved XPS indicated that a thin $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (2.5 nm) layer was successfully grown by MOVPE on GaN. The XPS result showed that the valence band offset was 0.2 ± 0.3 eV. This result indicates that the conduction-band offset at the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN$ interface is large, i.e., 0.9 to 1.0 eV, and occupies a large part of the entire band discontinuity. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3368689]

Group III-nitrides, with their wide variation of band gap, are promising materials for achieving excellent high-power and high-frequency devices because their heterostructures can provide a high density two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) with a high saturation velocity. Especially, latticematched $In_xAl_{1-x}N$ (x=0.17-0.18)/GaN heterostructures can provide a 2DEG with a high density exceeding 2 $\times 10^{13}$ cm⁻².¹⁻⁶ Actually, InAlN/GaN high-electron mobility transistors with high performance have been reported.^{2,3,5} To generate a high density 2DEG, a large conduction-band offset, $\Delta E_{\rm C}$, in addition to the strong polarization-induced effects,' is required. In a previous report,⁸ it was predicted by calculation that a large part of the band discontinuity is occupied by $\Delta E_{\rm C}$, resulting in a small valence band offset, $\Delta E_{\rm V}$. However, as far as the authors know, no experimental evidence of small $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ has been reported. One of the methods for evaluating $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ directly is x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). In the present study, we attempted to evaluate $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ at a lattice-matched In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN interface by XPS.

The schematic structure of the sample used for investigating the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN$ interface is shown in Fig. 1 where the photoelectron exit angle, θ , is also indicated. To

In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N (2.5 nm)

 $GaN(2 \mu m)$

Sapphire

measure bulk material constants, $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (14.5 nm)/ GaN (2 μ m) heterostructure and GaN (2 μ m) single layer were also prepared. All the samples were grown by metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE). Trimethylgallium, trimethylaluminum, trimethylindium, and ammonia were used as precursors of gallium, aluminum, indium, and nitrogen, respectively. The GaN and In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N layers were grown at 1000 °C and 820 °C, respectively. The growth conditions are described in detail in Ref. 6. X-ray diffraction confirmed that molar fraction in the 14.5 nm thick In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N was successfully controlled by the MOVPE growth. XPS was done by using a monochromated Al- $K\alpha$ x-ray source (1486.6 eV). The binding energy was corrected by adjusting the C 1s core-level peak position to 285.0 eV for each sample surface. Oxide layers at sample surfaces were removed by pretreatment with HF acid. Only when the exit angle was small (i.e., 15°), oxide components were detected.

The surface morphology of the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (2.5 nm)/ GaN sample was investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM). A typical AFM image is shown in Fig. 2. The surface of the sample was smooth, showing monolayer steps, which indicated that the thin $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ layer had uniform thickness as a result of layer-by-layer growth. Angle-resolved





^{a)}Electronic mail: akazawa@rciqe.hokudai.ac.jp.

FIG. 2. (Color online) AFM image of the surface morphology of the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (2.5 nm) /GaN heterostructure.



FIG. 3. (Color online) XPS Ga 3d, In 4d, and N 2s core-level spectra obtained for the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (2.5 nm) /GaN heterostructure. (a) θ =75°, (b) θ =45°, and (c) θ =15°.

XPS data also indicated this state, as described in the following.

Figures 3(a)–3(c) illustrate the observed Ga 3d, In 4d, and N 2s core-level spectra of the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N (2.5 nm)/GaN samples for three different exit angles, whereas N 1s, Al 2p, and valence band, E_V , spectra are shown in Figs. 4(a)–4(c). These spectra show that successful chemical bonding without any metallic or segregated components was achieved. Moreover, the Ga 3d and Ga Auger spectra from the GaN layer become more intense as θ increases, indicating that In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N was grown as a layer. It should be noted that the location of the valence-band maximum (VBM) is independent of θ , as shown in Fig. 4(c), where the fine solid lines show the results of straight-line extrapolation. If ΔE_V is large, shifts of VBM depending on θ should be observed. Since there is no remarkable VBM shift in Fig. 4(c), it is highly likely that ΔE_V is small.

To estimate $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ more precisely, energy separations between observed spectra were investigated. A schematic energy band diagram for the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN interface is shown in Fig. 5. $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ can be estimated from the following equation:⁹

$$\Delta E_{\rm V} = -\Delta E_{\rm CL} + (E_{\rm Ga}^{\rm GaN} - E_{\rm V}^{\rm GaN}) - (E_{\rm In\ 4d}^{\rm In\ AlN} - E_{\rm V}^{\rm In\ AlN}), \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta E_{\rm CL} = (E_{\rm Ga}^{\rm GaN} - E_{\rm In \ 4d}^{\rm In \ Al})$ is the core-level separation between the measured In 4d and Ga 3d spectra of the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N(2.5 nm)/GaN sample. The two ($E_{\rm CL}^{\rm material}$ $-E_{\rm V}^{\rm material}$) terms are separations between a core level and a VBM of the thick GaN and In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N samples. Here, the band gap of In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N is larger than that of GaN. The band alignment is therefore type I when $\Delta E_V > 0$ but type II when $\Delta E_V < 0$.

Although piezoelectric polarization in the thin latticematched $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ layer on GaN can be ignored, spontaneous polarization existed. According to a previous report,⁷ it is theoretically expected that the maximum polarization charge density can be 4×10^{-6} C/cm² at the interface. As a result of this effect and surface Fermi level pinning, a strong internal electric field is generated in the thin $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ layer. The internal electric field therefore leads to a potential drop large enough to affect the kinetic energy of photoelectron from the thin $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ layer, which results in broadening and energy-shift of XPS spectra.

Actually, binding energy and full-width-at-half maximum (FWHM) of the In 4d, In 3d, and Al 2p spectra both slightly increased with the increase of θ . Especially, FWHM increased up to 80 meV with increasing θ from 15° to 75°. We carried out a computer simulation to reproduce the observed XPS spectra in the following sequence. First, a magnitude of the potential drop in the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N layer is assumed. Then, an integrand spectrum is integrated versus depth, considering the assumed potential drop and in-depth signal decay, to predict apparent XPS spectra. It was found that a linear potential drop of 0.8 eV over the entire In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N thickness of 2.5 nm could reproduce the observed energy shift and FWHM of the XPS spectra. Here, the



FIG. 4. XPS spectra for the $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N$ (2.5 nm) /GaN heterostructure with $\theta=15^{\circ}$, 45°, and 75°. (a) N 1s and Ga Auger spectra. (b) Al 2p spectra. (c) E_V spectra.

Downloaded 23 Oct 2012 to 160.193.153.167. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright; see http://apl.aip.org/about/rights_and_permissions



FIG. 5. (Color online) Schematic energy-band diagram of $In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN$ interface.

escape depth at θ of 45° was assumed to be 2.3 nm for In 4d and Al 2p and 1.5 nm for In 3d. The potential drop of 0.8 eV in 2.5 nm of In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N layer was in good agreement with the theoretical estimation assuming a polarization charge of 4×10^{-6} C/cm² at the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN interface. The derived electric field was therefore taken into account in determining $\Delta E_{\rm CL}$ to be 1.2 eV. (The apparent separation in Fig. 3 is larger than this $\Delta E_{\rm CL}$ value because of the internal electric field that makes a larger energy shift in the Ga 3d spectra.) Separate experiments on thick layers showed that, $E_{\rm Ga}^{\rm GaN} - E_{\rm V}^{\rm GaN}$ and $E_{\rm In}^{\rm InAlN} - E_{\rm V}^{\rm InAlN}$ were 17.1 eV and 15.7 eV, respectively. Consequently, Eq. (1) gives $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ of 0.2 ± 0.3 eV. Evaluation using In 3d and Al 2p spectra in place of the In 4d spectrum resulted in the same $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ value.

Figure 6 shows the schematic band alignment based on reported band gap energy values^{10,11} and the present result of $\Delta E_{\rm V}$. Applying an amphoteric native-defect model,



FIG. 6. (Color online) Schematic band alignment based on the measured $\Delta E_{\rm V}.$

Walukiewicz proposed the Fermi-level stabilization energy $(E_{\rm FS})$ as a reference energy level for Schottky-barrier formation in GaAs¹² and heterointerface band line-up in III-V semiconductors.¹³ He also calculated band edges of III-N ternary alloys (AlGaN, InGaN, and InAlN) in reference to $E_{\rm FS}$, and estimated a small $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ of around 0.3 eV at the In_{0.2}Al_{0.8}N/GaN interface.⁸ The present value of measured $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ is in good agreement with the theoretically estimated value within the experimental error. On the other hand, XPS data in a previous report on the surface-Fermi-level pinning positions for thick InAlN alloys, concerning chargeneutrality level, support our results.¹⁴ It should be noted that the conduction-band offset is large, namely, 0.9-1.0 eV. It can therefore be concluded that a high electron barrier due to the large conduction-band offset is one of the reasons that high-density 2DEG is generated at the In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/GaN heterointerface.

In summary, $\Delta E_{\rm V}$ of a lattice-matched In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N/ GaN heterostructure grown by MOVPE was investigated by using XPS. AFM and angle-resolved XPS indicated a successful formation of a thin In_{0.17}Al_{0.83}N (2.5 nm thick) layer on GaN by MOVPE. The XPS result showed that the valence-band offset was 0.2 ± 0.3 eV, which indicated that $\Delta E_{\rm C}$ was large, namely, 0.9 to 1.0 eV.

- ¹J. Kuzmík, IEEE Electron Device Lett. 22, 510 (2001).
- ²M. Higashiwaki and T. Matsui, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 2 43, L768 (2004).
- ³J. Kuzmík, A. Kostopoulos, G. Konstantinidis, J.-F. Carlin, A. Georgakilas, and D. Pogany, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **53**, 422 (2006).
- ⁴M. Gonschorek, J.-F. Carlin, E. Feltin, M. A. Py, and N. Grandjean, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 062106 (2006).
- ⁵J. Xie, X. Ni, M. Wu, J. H. Leach, Ü. Özgür, and H. Morkoç, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 132116 (2007).
- ⁶M. Hiroki, N. Maeda, and T. Kobayashi, Appl. Phys. Express **1**, 111102 (2008).
- ⁷O. Ambacher, R. Dimitrov, M. Stutzmann, B. E. Foutz, M. J. Murphy, J.
- A. Smart, J. R. Shealy, N. G. Weimann, K. Chu, M. Chumbes, B. Green, A. J. Sierakowski, W. J. Schaff, and L. F. Eastman, Phys. Status Solidi B 216, 381 (1999).
- ⁸W. Walukiewicz, S. X. Li, J. Wu, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, E. E. Haller, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, J. Cryst. Growth **269**, 119 (2004).
- ⁹J. R. Waldrop and R. W. Grant, Appl. Phys. Lett. 68, 2879 (1996).
- ¹⁰R. E. Jones, R. Broesler, K. M. Yu, J. W. Ager III, E. E. Haller, W. Walukiewicz, X. Chen, and W. J. Schaff, J. Appl. Phys. **104**, 123501 (2008).
- ¹¹J. Wu, J. Appl. Phys. **106**, 011101 (2009).
- ¹²W. Walukiewicz, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 6, 1257 (1988).
- ¹³W. Walukiewicz, Physica B **302-303**, 123 (2001).
- ¹⁴P. D. C. King, T. D. Veal, A. Adikimenakis, H. Lu, L. R. Bailey, E. Iliopoulos, A. Georgakilas, W. J. Schaff, and C. F. McConville, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 172105 (2008).